

Assessment Newsletter

ISSUE NO. 5

Fall 2024

Assessment Events



TSU Leads The Way With The Open Plenary at The HBCU-CEEQA Annual Convening July 2024

Dr. Melton kicked off the annual convening of HBCU assessment and planning professionals with her presentation entitled *Leveraging AI and Emerging Technologies to Innovate Assessment, Research, and Planning at HBCUs*. Dr. Melton's presentation explored how AI and emerging technologies can revolutionize assessment, research, and planning at HBCUs. It highlighted the use of AI algorithms for personalized student assessment and adaptive learning, as well as the integration of machine learning to gather and analyze data for evidence-based decision-making. The session also demonstrated AI tools that address productivity and showcased the utilization of AI from convenience to competencies. By leveraging AI, HBCUs can drive innovation, promote inclusivity, and prepare students for the future. The presentation was inspiring to all in attendance.



Fall 2024 Assessment Workshop: Harnessing AI: Opportunities for Classroom and Program Assessment

In August 2024, Natasha Jankowski, PhD, delivered an insightful workshop titled "Harnessing AI: Opportunities for Classroom and Program Assessment." The workshop explored the current landscape of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education and its potential applications in classroom and program assessments.



Current Conversations on AI

The workshop began with an overview of the TSU SMART Center, which provides AI training and resources for the campus and community. Faculty, students, and staff can learn to incorporate AI into their work, while local employers benefit from AI workforce training and a pipeline of trained graduates. The speaker highlighted and complimented the University's SMART Center for its exceptional contributions to AI training and resources.

AI in Higher Education, Classroom Assessment, and Program Assessment

AI is being utilized in numerous aspects of higher education, including online discussion boards, analyzing student success metrics, chatbots for enrollment and retention, adaptive learning, tutoring, scheduling, predictive analytics, and more. Despite its benefits, there are concerns related to privacy, uneven access, equity, policy variation, accuracy, and ethical considerations.

Assessment Newsletter

The workshop emphasized the potential of AI in classroom assessments through flipped classrooms, project-based learning, and inclusive practices. The AI Assessment Scale was introduced, ranging from no AI assistance to full AI task completion with human evaluation. This scale helps educators understand the different levels of AI integration in assessments.

AI can also assist with program-level data analysis, feedback on assessments, identifying gaps, benchmarking standards, curriculum mapping, and reporting for accreditation. Ethical considerations were discussed, including centering equity, checking accuracy, ensuring privacy, and acting ethically.

Opportunities for Scholarship

The workshop highlighted several research opportunities related to AI in education. These include studying student perceptions of AI, the impact on learning outcomes and equity, bias and fairness, ethical considerations, assessment culture, professional development, curricular alignment, and more.

The workshop concluded with a call to experiment with AI, stay informed, and consider ethical and equity-focused considerations when using AI in education. By harnessing the power of AI, educators can enhance classroom and program assessments, ultimately improving the learning experience for students.

Assessment Institute 2024

Tennessee State University (TSU) had an impressive delegation at the 2024 Assessment Institute this week. Faculty and staff assessment coordinators from TSU gathered valuable insights on best practices for assessment and learning improvement in both curricular and co-curricular areas of higher education. The event, hosted by the Center for Leading Improvements in Higher Education at Indiana University Indianapolis, offered attendees the opportunity to connect with leading experts in the field of assessment. TSU assessment coordinators will utilize the resources, support, and expertise gained at the conference well beyond the Institute's dates.



Assessment Resources

Assessment Resources: This section will provide links to helpful websites, articles, and books on assessment.

The Office of Assessment and Accreditation publishes

- [TSU's Nuventive Improve User Guide](#)
- [Annual Cycle for Continuous Improvement: Outcomes Assessment Guidelines](#)

Assessment Tools at TSU:
[Nuventive platform](#)

The Association for the Assessment of Learning in Higher Education (AALHE) Assessment Resources

<https://www.aalhe.org/assessment-resources>

Center for Assessment & Research Studies (CARS) at James Madison University

<https://www.jmu.edu/assessment/pdia/index.shtml>





Assessment Spotlight

Certificate of High Performance in Outcomes Assessment AY 22-23 Recipients

Program Level Awards

Agricultural Sciences	John Rickets
Architectural Engineering	Shihui Liu and Catherine Armwood
Biology	Sarika Saraswati
Business Administration	Ramaprasad Unni
Computer & Information Systems Engineering	Seleh Zein-Sabatto
Dental Hygiene	Gary Lee Lewis and Kristan Gordon
Electrical Engineering	Liang Hong and Seleh Zein-Sabatto
Engineering And Computational Sciences	Muhammad Akbar
Family & Consumer Sciences	LaPorchia Davis
Music	Robert Bryant
Professional Studies	Megan Stream and Angela Nichols-Paez
Public Administration	Megan Streams and Angela Nichols-Paez
Speech And Hearing Science	Tina Smith and Terrie Gibson

*The **Certificate of High Performer for Outcomes Assessment** is awarded as a structure within the University's assessment system to provide support and/or recognition for faculty and staff working to improve or advance their assessment practices.*

Award Criteria:

College Level Awards: Colleges with no reports returned for revisions after the OIERPA completed its evaluation based on the University's scoring rubric.

Program Level Awards: Programs that scored Exemplary (4) on at least 4 out of 5 rubric items.

Division Level Awards: Divisions with no reports returned for revisions after the OIERPA completed its evaluation based on the University's scoring rubric.

Department/Unit Level Awards: Departments/Units that scored In Compliance (3) on at least 4 out of 5 rubric items.



Assessment Spotlight

Certificate of High Performance in Outcomes

Assessment AY 22-23 Recipients

Unit Level Awards

Assessment & Accreditation	Charlise Anderson
Athletics	Kenita Stokes
Career Development Center	Angela Davis
Centers of Excellence	Kim Smith
College of Agriculture	De-Atra Young and Nick Gawal
College of Business	Retta Guy
College of Education	Rajah Smart
College of Health Science	Ronald Barredo
College of Liberal Arts	Samantha Morgan-Curtis
College of Public Service	Rodney Stanley
Disability Services	Anita McGaha
Diversity & International Programs	Jewel Wynn, Mark Brinkley, & Mark Gunter
Dual Enrollment	Trena Barksdale
Equity and Inclusion	Cornelius Brownlee
Events & Conference Services	Selina Freeman
Facilities Management	Adam Starace
Financial Aid	Barbara Ttharpe
Financial Services	Bradley White
General Counsel	Ginette Brown
Global Online	Cheryl Seay
Graduate School	Marcia Millet
HBCU C2	Robin Blackman
Health Services	Dorsha james
Honors College	Tyrone Miller
Institutional Effectiveness/Research	Charlise Anderson
Institutional Planning and Program Review	Charlise Anderson
Libraries & Media Centers	Glenda Alvin
Men's Initiative	Andre Bean
Police Department	Tony Blakely
Procurement	Jennifer Nelson
Public Relations and Communications Administration	Jenai Hayes
Research and Sponsored Programs	Quincy Quick
Service Learning & Civic	Eric Schmeller
Testing Center	Triba Gary-Davis and Allysa Jordan
Wellness Center	Jerry Davis and Felicia Sweatt

Executive Director's Corner



Most Frequently Cited *Principles of Accreditation* in Decennial Reaffirmation Reviews: Class of 2023

Most Frequently Cited *Principles of Accreditation* in Decennial Reaffirmation Reviews: Class of 2023

Review Stage I: OFF-Site Committee <small>(n=80 institutions)</small>			Review Stage II: ON-Site Committee <small>(n=78 institutions)</small>			Review Stage III: Board of Trustees <small>(n=78 institutions)</small>		
Rank	Core Requirement / Standard	% of Institutions in Non-Compliance	Rank	Core Requirement / Standard	% of Institutions in Non-Compliance	Rank	Core Requirement / Standard	% of Institutions in Non-Compliance
1.	6.2.a (Faculty Qualifications)	91%	1.	7.2 (Quality Enhancement Plan)	35%	1.	8.2.a (Student Outcomes: Ed Programs)	6%
2.	8.1 (Student Achievement)	51%	2.	6.2.a (Faculty Qualifications)	15%	2.	13.3 (Financial Responsibility)	4%
3.	8.2.a (Student Outcomes: Ed Programs)	48%	3.	8.2.a (Student Outcomes: Ed Programs)	12%	3.	6.2.a (Faculty Qualifications)	3%
4.	13.2 (Financial Documents)		4.	6.3 (Faculty Appointment and Evaluation)	5%	4.	6.3 (Faculty Appointment & Evaluation)	
5.	6.2.c (Program Coordination)	41%	5.	7.3 (Administrative Effectiveness)	4%	<3%		
6.	6.2.b (Program Faculty)	40%	6.	6.2.c (Program Coordination)				
7.	5.4 (Qualified Officers)	38%	7.	8.1 (Student Achievement)				
8.	10.7 (Policies for Awarding Credit)	35%	8.	8.2.b (Student Outcomes: General Ed)				
9.	4.2.g (Board Self-Evaluation)	34%	9.	8.2.c (Student Outcomes: Student Services)				
10.	13.6 (Federal and State Responsibilities)	30%	10.	13.3 (Financial Responsibility)				

TIMELINE FOR TSU's SACSCOC FIFTH-YEAR INTERIM REPORT

